

STATINTL

Did the Central Intelligence Agency misjudge the strength that Castro and his militia could muster in the recent "invasion" fiasco?

A CIA spokesman, declining to be quoted by name, declares the charge. is ridiculous. But other Washington sources (including some in position to know) still maintain that the supersecret agents of Allen Dulles decided the time was ripe to strike and that a few hundred ill-trained insurgents could give Cuba's Castro his final what-for.

And if the report is true, or even partially factual, it's a serious indictment.

As any effective espionage agency must be, the CIA is sheathed from tees, it is required to make no accounting for the money it spends (r mored to be more than \$500 million annually) or the activities of its operatives (more than 10,000). But few of us complain about the money or the secrecy until we're confronted with facts that are, to be generous, mystify-

Is the CIA actually doing an effective job of spying?

Was the U-2 incident just an accidental bobble?

How excuse the lack of advance intelligence on the Japanese riots that embarrassed President E is enhower, too late to get off the hook?

Why did we play drop-the-handkerchief with ineffectuals in Laos?

What, in truth, about Cuba?

We are, concededly, critical; it is public prying. Except for token re- easy to be critical from a hill in North ports to secret Congressional commit- Carolina. But we are also concerned: And the questions beg answers.

Approved For Release 2000/04/13 : CfA/J NDP70-00058R000200140181-4